

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
10/723,642	11/26/2003	Gunther Reissig	MAS-FIN-101	9720	
27346 LERNER GRE	7590 12/06/2007 ENBERG STEMER LLP	EXAMINER			
FOR INFINEO	N TECHNOLOGIES AG	JANAKIRAMAN, NITHYA			
P.O. BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOI	D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2123		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	·		12/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

					AR		
Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	"(
		10/723,6	42	REISSIG, GUNT	REISSIG, GUNTHER		
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
			nakiraman	2123			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Isions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum star- te to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months af- ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalunication. tutory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNI vent, however, may a vill expire SIX (6) MON plication to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>29 <i>October 200</i></u>	<u>07</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition f				ne merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Q</i>	<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 200</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	04 is/are: a) acce tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeya red if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the application filed on 10/29/2007. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/29/2007 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3-7 and 12-18 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The test for a proper dependent claim is whether the dependent claim includes every limitation of the parent claim. Claims 3-7 and 12-18 merely recite a computer for the purposes of carrying out the instructions of the parent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

10/723,642 Art Unit: 2123

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. "A computer interpreting a data carrier to run a computer program product or computer program" as recited by the claims is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but is not enabled by the disclosure. There is no mention of interpreting data carrier within the specification. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-9, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 1, line 2 recites "the system" and lines 6-7 recite "the technical system" both of which lack proper antecedent support. Proper correction is required. All depending claims are rejected as well.
- 6. Claim 7 recites "A computer running a computer-readable medium". It remains unclear how exactly a computer would run a medium.
- 7. Claim 16 recites a "computer system" according to claim 10, which recites a "computer program product or computer program". Claim 16 is rejected for changing statutory categories.

Art Unit: 2123

8. Claim 18 recites a "method" according to claim 16, which recites a "computer system" according to claim 10, which recites a "computer program product or computer program". Claim 18 is rejected for changing statutory categories.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 9. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 10. Claim 1 appears to be directed to a recitation of abstract ideas (for example, "providing a system of equations") and mathematical algorithms. While the simulation of an electrical network is useful, there is no tangible result from the steps. The claims recite outputting error information, but fail to recite *how* this error information is "output". Therefore the method does not provide a concrete, useful, or tangible result. All depending claims are rejected as well.
- Claims 7, 14, and 15 recite a "computer-readable medium". However, the specification recites that a "computer-readable medium" may be a "carrier signal for distance communication" (page 31, lines 5-10). Therefore, the claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims that recite nothing but the physical characteristics of a form of energy are nonstatutory natural phenomena, therefore a claim reciting a signal encoded with functional descriptive material does not appear to fall within any statutory category of patentable subject matter.

12. Claims 10, 11, 16, and 17 recite "A computer program product or computer program". Computer programs do not fall within a statutory category of patentable subject matter as computer programs comprise software *per se*. All depending claims are rejected as well.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nithya Janakiraman whose telephone number is 571-270-1003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00am-5:00pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Rodriguez can be reached on (571)272-3753. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NJ

PAUL RODRIGUEZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100